

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 JACK MORGAN,

10 Defendant.
11

Case No. 2:17-CR-0064-KJD-GWF

ORDER

12 Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion Concerning Extrinsic Conversations on Trial
13 Exhibits (#88). Defendant has not filed a response to the motion.

14 The Government anticipates that copies of the dashboard and body cameras of law
15 enforcement officers that recorded events leading up to and surrounding the arrest of Defendant will
16 be offered into evidence. Those recordings have been provided to Defendant. They contain
17 conversations between officers, between the officers and the co-defendant, and remarks by the co-
18 defendant. The Court finds that those conversations are not prejudicial. However, to the extent any
19 prejudice does arise it is substantially outweighed by the probative value of the evidence, such as the
20 state of mind of the participants. Finally, any prejudice could be abated with a limiting instruction to
21 the jury. Accordingly, the Court finds that the recordings are admissible as part of the relevant video
22 and audio evidence, subject to authentication.

23 DATED this 15th day of December 2017.
24

25 

26 Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge